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## Introduction

## Assumptions

- Data are one-channel microarray data
- Affymetrix
- Nimblegen
- Possibly cDNA chip with common reference
- We assume data have been normalized and summarized
- Goal is to make comparisons
- t-tests
- linear models


## limma package

Why limma?

- Pros
- Highly flexible
- Increased power
- Empirical Bayes
- Linear modeling
- Chip weighting
- Cons
- Complexity
- Design matrices
- Contrast matrices


## Simple Example

Compare two groups

$$
t=\frac{\hat{x}-\hat{y}}{\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{N-1}}}
$$

## Graphical Example
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## Graphics
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## Design Matrix

```
> samples
[1] Control Control Control Tumor Tumor
[6] Tumor
Levels: Control Tumor
> design <- model.matrix(~0 + samples)
> colnames(design) <- levels(samples)
> design
    Control Tumor
1 1 0
2 1 0
3 1 0
4 0
5 0
6 0 1
attr(,"assign")
[1] 1 1
```


## Contrast Matrix

Numerator
> contrast <- makeContrasts(Tumor - Control,

+ levels = design)
> contrast
Contrasts
Levels Tumor - Control
Control -1
Tumor 1


## Empirical Bayes

Denominator
Remember 'standard' $t$-test:

$$
t=\frac{\hat{x}-\hat{y}}{\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{N-1}}}
$$

limma uses Empirical Bayes adjusted denominator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\frac{\hat{x}-\hat{y}}{s+s_{0}} \\
s & =\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{N-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why adjust?
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## t-test

## Practice $t$-test

Load affy and limma libraries
Attach sample.ExpressionSet dataset
Look at phenoData object associated
Do a $t$-test comparing the male and female samples

## t-test

> library(affy)
> library(limma)
> data(sample.ExpressionSet)
> eset <- sample.ExpressionSet
> head(pData(eset))
sex type score
A Female Control 0.75
B Male Case 0.40
C Male Control 0.73
D Male Case 0.42
E Female Case 0.93
F Male Control 0.22

## t-test

> design <- model.matrix (~ 0 + pData(eset)[,1])
> colnames(design) <- levels(pData(eset)[,1])
> contrast <- makeContrasts(Male - Female,
$+\quad$ levels = design)
> fit <- lmFit(eset, design)
> fit2 <- contrasts.fit(fit, contrast)
> fit2 <- eBayes(fit2)

## t-test

> head(topTable(fit2, coef = 1))

|  | ID |  | logFC | AveExpr |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | t

## Dealing with Outliers

Principal Components Plot


## Array Weights

> aw <- arrayWeights(eset, design)
> aw

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.81 | 0.69 | 1.06 | 1.46 | 1.68 | 1.37 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 0.72 |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 0.83 | 1.04 | 2.58 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 1.43 | 1.11 | 0.99 | 0.73 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |  |
| 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 2.65 | 1.14 | 1.25 | 0.73 |  |
| $>$ fit <- lmFit(eset, design, weights $=$ aw) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Two-factor ANOVA

sample.ExpressionSet Again
> head(pData(eset))

|  | sex | type | score |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | Female | Control | 0.75 |
| B | Male | Case | 0.40 |
| C | Male | Control | 0.73 |
| D | Male | Case | 0.42 |
| E Female | Case | 0.93 |  |
| F | Male | Control | 0.22 |

## Design Matrix

> sex <- pData(eset)[,1]
> type <- pData(eset) [,2]
> design <- model.matrix(~ 0 + sex:type)
> colnames(design) <- c("Fem.Case","Male.Case",
$+$ "Fem.Contr", "Male.Contr")
> head(design)

|  | Fem.Case Male.Case | Fem. Contr | Male.Contr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Comparisons

What comparisons can we make?

- Male vs Female
- Case vs Control
- Case vs Control within sex
- Interaction


## t-test
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## Practice ANOVA

Can you do the following?

- Compare Female Cases vs Female Controls
- Compare Female Cases vs Male Cases


## Create Contrasts Matrices

```
\(>\) contrast <- makeContrasts (Fem.Case - Fem.Contr,
\(+\)
\(+\quad\) levels \(=\) design)
> contrast
```

Contrasts
Levels Fem.Case - Fem.Contr
Fem.Case 1
Male.Case 0
Fem.Contr -1
Male.Contr 0

Contrasts
Levels Fem.Case - Male.Case
Fem.Case 1
Male.Case -1
Fem.Contr 0
Male.Contr 0

## Fit Model and Compute Contrasts

> fit <- lmFit(eset, design)
> fit2 <- contrasts.fit(fit, contrast)
> fit2 <- eBayes(fit2)

## Female Cases vs Controls

> head(topTable(fit2, coef = 1))

|  |  | ID |  | logFC | AveExpr |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 180 | 31419_r_at | -395 | 1447 | -2.8 | 0.010 |
| 392 | 31631_f_at | 27 | -33 | 2.7 | 0.012 |
| 113 | 31352_at | -27 | 40 | -2.7 | 0.013 |
| 374 | 31613_at | -44 | 77 | -2.6 | 0.016 |
| 358 | 31597_r_at | -335 | 1634 | -2.5 | 0.022 |
| 157 | 31396_r_at | -525 | 2504 | -2.4 | 0.026 |
| adj.P.Val |  |  | B |  |  |
| 180 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |
| 392 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |
| 113 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |
| 374 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |
| 358 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |
| 157 | 0.75 | -4.6 |  |  |  |

## Female Cases vs Male Cases

| Bioconductor | > head(topTable(fit2, coef = 2)) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oduction |  |  |  | ID | $\operatorname{logFC}$ | AveExpr |
| Linear models | 314 |  |  | 31553_at | 17.2 | 7.2 |
| $t$-test | 382 |  |  | 31621_s_at | -142.8 | 516.6 |
| Higher-order Models | 206 |  |  | 31445_at | -63.5 | 134.8 |
|  | 120 |  |  | 31359_at | 11.7 | 11.9 |
|  | 43 | AFFX | -HUMRGE/ | M10098_5_at | -53.0 | 15.0 |
|  | 79 |  |  | 31318_at | -9.7 | 12.7 |
|  |  | t | P.Value | adj.P.Val | B |  |
|  | 314 | 3.6 | 0.0016 | 0.49 | -4.4 |  |
|  | 382 | -3.5 | 0.0020 | 0.49 | -4.4 |  |
|  | 206 | -2.9 | 0.0075 | 0.93 | -4.5 |  |
|  | 120 | 2.5 | 0.0209 | 0.93 | -4.5 |  |
|  | 43 | -2.5 | 0.0217 | 0.93 | -4.5 |  |
|  | 79 | -2.4 | 0.0235 | 0.93 | -4.5 |  |

## Interaction



## Interaction

$$
\text { interaction }=(\text { FemCase }- \text { FemContr })-(\text { MaleCase }- \text { MaleContr })
$$

Set up contrasts the same way:
> contrast <- makeContrasts((Fem.Case - Fem.Contr) -

+ (Male.Case - Male.Contr), levels = design)
> colnames(contrast) <- "Interaction"
> contrast
Contrasts
Levels Interaction
Fem.Case 1

Male.Case -1
Fem.Contr -1
Male.Contr 1

## Batch Effects

- Batch effects can arise from
- Pairing
- Experiments run at different times
- Different reagents
- Watch out for
- Aliasing
- Creating batches unnecessarily
- Assuming batch effect when there isn't one


## Pairing

- Mice first sampled as control then tumor introduced and re-sampled
- Wild type and mutant mice selected from several litters
- Several different cell lines treated similarly


## Example Batch Effect



## Example Batch Effect



## Controlling for Batch



## Fitting a Batch Effect

> treatment <- factor (rep(1:2, each = 12))
> treatment

$$
\text { [1] } \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll} 
& 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2
\end{array}
$$

[21] 2222
Levels: 12
> batch <- factor (rep(1:2, each = 6, times = 2))
> batch

```
        [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2
[21] 2 2 2 2
Levels: 1 2
```


## Fitting a Batch Effect

> design <- model.matrix(~ 0 + treatment + batch)
> head(design)

Weighting Chips Higher-order Models Batch Effects
treatment1 treatment2 batch2

| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Multiple Comparisons

Setup:
We have compared two different drugs vs control and want to select significant genes

- decideTests()
- separate
- global
- hierarchical
- nestedF


## Venn Diagram

> rslt <- decideTests (fit2, method = "nestedF")
> vc <- vennCounts (rslt)
> vennDiagram(vc)


## Volcano Plot

## > volcanoplot(fit2)



